

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bez 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,253		12/12/2001	Chan Hwa Jeong	P/923-345 1721		
2352	7590	07/17/2003				
		SER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER			
NEW YOR		HE AMERICAS 00368403		NICOLAS, WESLEY A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1742	3	
				DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/015,253	JEONG, CHAN HWA				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wesley A. Nicolas	1742				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m  - If the period for reply specified ab  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or e	THIS COMMUNICATION.  The under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 is alling date of this communication.  The index is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period watended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT (6(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO date of this communication, even if timely the status of the status	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINA	AL. 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  A) ✓ Claim(a) 1.5 is/ara	nonding in the application		•				
,	pending in the application. aim(s) is/are withdrav	wn from consideration					
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a		William Consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are							
7) Claim(s) is/a							
	subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed	on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing drawing drawing the pro	ng correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, correcte	ed drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declarat	ion is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	119 ànd 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some '	c) None of:		•				
1.⊠ Certified copi	ies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copi	ies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	ation No				
application application	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (P 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Pater  Notice of Draftsperson's Pater  Notice of Draftsperson's Pater  Notice	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/015,253

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- Claim 1 is objected to because "tiomolybdenic acid ammonium solution" should be changed to "ammonium thiomolybdate" because that is the accepted terminology in the art. Furthermore, "tiomolybdenic" does not even appear once out of a total of 22 million different compounds in the Chemical Abstracts Service database. Applicant is reminded to change all instances of "tiomolybdenic acid ammonium solution" to "ammonium thiomolybdate" to maintain uniformity of terminology.
- Claim 2 contains the terminology "such as" which leaves the reader confused as to whether sulfuric acid or oxalic acid are really included as a limitation in the claim or merely just an example. Applicant could use "selected from the group of" language instead of "such as."

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,253

Art Unit: 1742

- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saruwatari et al. (4,230,539), and further in view of Roemer (3,540,862).

Saruwatari et al. teach a manufacturing method comprising:

- molding an exterior of a member by using an aluminum material (col. 1, lines 11-14: "aluminum or aluminum alloy");
- forming an oxide-coated layer on the surface of the member after the exterior of the member is completed () using an electrolyte solution such as sulfuric acid (col. 5, lines 7-20);
- electrolyzing the member in ammonium thiomolybdate (col. 2, lines 55-60 and col. 5, lines 7-20: equivalent to Applicant's "tiomolybdenic acid ammonium solution") and infiltrating a molybedene emulsion into the oxide-coated layer of the member (col. 5, lines 7-20), wherein the concentration of the ammonium thiomolybdate is between  $0.01\sim0.1$  wt% (Table 5) and the molybedene emulsion is deposited in the pores (col. 5, lines 7-20), and wherein the oxide coated film has a thickness of  $0.01\sim0.03$ mm (col. 1, lines 50-55 where Applicant's claimed range overlaps the reference at  $10\mu\sim30~\mu$ ).

Application/Control Number: 10/015,253

Art Unit: 1742

Saruwateri et al. but fail to specifically teach the application of the process on a bearing.

Roemer teaches that it is well known to form oxide coatings on bearings because of their very good sliding properties (col. 2, lines 17-24).

Claims 1-4 are rejected because it would have been obvious and within the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Saruwatari et al. invention to perform the oxide formation on a bearing material as taught by Roemer because Roemer teaches that it is well known to form oxide coatings on bearings because of their very good sliding properties (col. 2, lines 17-24).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Saruwatari et al. - Roemer combination as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fujikura (JP 56044796).

The Saruwatari et al. - Roemer combination are as applied, argued, and disclosed above and incorporated herein but fail to specifically teach a step of abrading the member (*i.e.* bearing) to improve the illumination of the surface of the member after infiltrating the molybdene emulsion.

Roemer teaches a step of performing a rubbing treatment which improved the lubricating property of the member (Abstract).

Claim 5 is rejected because it would have been obvious and within the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Saruwatari et al. -

Application/Control Number: 10/015,253

4740

Art Unit: 1742

1655 (CAFC 1990).

Roemer combination to perform an abrading (*i.e.* rubbing) treatment on the surface of the member as taught by Fujikura because Fujikura teaches teaches a step of performing a rubbing treatment which improved the lubricating property of the member (Abstract). It should be noted that although Fujikura does not disclose anything about the illumination properties, similar processes can reasonably be expected to yield products which inherently have the same properties. <u>In re Spada</u>, 15 USPQ2d

Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wesley Nicolas whose telephone number is (703)305-0082. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7am to 5pm.

The Supervisory Primary Examiner for this Art Unit is Roy King whose telephone number is (703) 308-1146.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Wesley A. Nicolas

July 10, 2003